Interview Summary	10/567,540	LEACH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANDRAE S. ALLISON	2624	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>ANDRAE S. ALLISON</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Niels Haun (Reg #: 48,488)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 30 July 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: <u>n/a</u> .	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>n/a</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In an effort to expedite prosecution of the case, the Examiner contacted Applicant representative in order to obtain authorization to do an Examiner's Amendment to overcome a 101, 'tied to' rejection correct other minor issues in the case. Applicant representative gave the Examiner authorization to amend the claims to include the phrase "using a digital computing device to perform the steps of: (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS			
GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERLE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERLE O	OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	/ DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	HIS LATER, TO
	/Andrae S Allison/		

Application No.

Applicant(s)